KAUA'I PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION COMMITTEE MEETING

October 27, 2015

The regular meeting of the Planning Commission Subdivision Committee of the County of Kaua'i was called to order at 8:35 a.m., at the Līhu'e Civic Center, Mo'ikeha Building, in meeting room 2A-2B. The following Commissioners were present:

Mr. Sean Mahoney Mr. Louis Abrams

Absent and Excused: Ms. Amy Mendonca

The following staff members were present: Planning Department – Dale Cua; Deputy County Attorney Jodi Higuchi-Sayegusa; Office of Boards and Commissions – Administrator Jay Furfaro, Commission Support Clerk Darcie Agaran

Discussion of the meeting, in effect, ensued:

CALL TO ORDER

Vice Chair Mahoney called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Vice Chair Mahoney: Roll call.

Staff Planner Dale Cua: Chair Mahoney?

Vice Chair Mahoney: Here.

Mr. Cua: Commissioner Abrams?

Mr. Abrams: Here.

Mr. Cua: Commissioner Mendonca? Absent. Chair, we have two (2) members present.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Do we have a quorum?

Mr. Cua: We have a quorum.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Okay.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Vice Chair Mahoney: Approval of the Agenda.

Mr. Cua: I'd like to add one (1) addition to the agenda. It is a correspondence dated October 26th from R.M. Towill Corporation. This pertains to Item I.1.d. of the Subdivision agenda. The correspondence is requesting to defer action on this particular item.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Okay, thank you. Is there a motion to approve?

Mr. Abrams: Move to approve the agenda as amended.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any further discussion? All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 2:0.

MINUTES of the meeting(s) of the Subdivision Committee

Meeting of September 22, 2015

Vice Chair Mahoney: Minutes of the Subdivision September 22, 2015 meeting.

Mr. Abrams: Move to approve.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any discussion?

Mr. Abrams: No.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Minutes are approved as read. Motion carries 2:0.

RECEIPT OF ITEMS FOR THE RECORD

Vice Chair Mahoney: Receipt of Items for the Record.

Mr. Cua: I'd just like to restate that we do have a correspondence dated October 26, 2015 from R.M. Towill Corporation.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Is there a motion to receive?

Mr. Abrams: So moved.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any further discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 2:0. Items are received.

HEARINGS AND PUBLIC COMMENT

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Hearings and Public Comment. Individuals may orally testify on items on this agenda during the Public Comment period. Testimony may be also accepted when the agenda item is taken up by the Commission. Testifiers shall limit their testimony to three (3) minutes. Does anybody care to testify on any agenda item at this time? Or wait until the agenda item comes up? Seeing none we'll move on.

GENERAL BUSINESS MATTERS

Vice Chair Mahoney: General Business Matters.

Mr. Cua: None this morning.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Vice Chair Mahoney: Unfinished Business.

Mr. Cua: None.

NEW BUSINESS (For Action)

Tentative Subdivision Action

Subdivision Application No. S-2013-23; Roy K. Morita, Marvin M. Morita, Beverly S. Morita; Proposed 6-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 4-6-006:017, 019, 020; Kapa'a, Kaua'i

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> New Business. Tentative Subdivision Action.

Mr. Cua: The first item on the agenda under New Business is Item 1.a. It's a Subdivision Application No. S-2013-23. The applicants are Roy K. Morita, Marvin M. Morita, and Beverly S. Morita. It's a proposed 6-lot subdivision. Tax Map Key of the property is 4-6-006 parcels 17, 19, and 20. Parcel is located in Kapa'a.

Commissioners, what you have before you is a revised subdivision application. In this proposal, the application has been amended and revised pertaining to the number of lots. Initially it was an 8-lot subdivision; it has been reduced to a 6-lot subdivision. The revised subdivision map has

been routed to the various reviewing agencies for their review and comment. Their requirements have been incorporated into the subdivision report. As a result, the Department is recommending tentative subdivision approval of this application.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Is there a representative for the applicant present?

<u>Dennis Esaki</u>: Good morning. Dennis Esaki representing the agent, Chris Singleton. As Dale said, this is a revised tentative application. We reduced the number of lots by two (2) to six (6) lots. Regarding Kawaihau Road, 2.d. says that it is adequate contrary to 1.e., which is the old comments because the County has improved Kawaihau Road already; 2.d. clarifies that. We believe L, regarding Ordinance 777 is not applicable because there is roadway access on both sides of the property.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Any further comment?

Mr. Esaki: No.

Mr. Cua: As far as Condition 1.1. for public access, there is a process in which the ordinance identifies, and it's something that the Department and the Applicant resolve.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Is there any questions?

Mr. Abrams: Questions. Dale, up at the top, Map Title, it says go into Lots 1 to 8. So it's to six (6) yeah?

Mr. Cua: Yes, correct.

Mr. Abrams: Okay. I'm a little confused because I was reading the first section, what you are telling me is the 2013 one before it was revised, right, which said in 1.e. that Kawaihau should be improved. I noticed on the map, I see a dedication along Kawaihau, so if they've improved it already, what are we going to do with this?

Mr. Cua: As far as the necessary pavement improvements are concerned, it's been done. But as far as bringing the right-of-way width to County standard, the dedication of the roadway lot would be necessary to bring the roadway to standard on their side of the road.

Mr. Esaki: We still plan on dedicating.

Mr. Abrams: How wide is that strip?

Mr. Esaki: It varies because the property across the street is not parallel to this side.

Mr. Abrams: I got you. So about what? Fifteen (15) or so? Or roughly, around there? What would you need assuming it was a straight road on the other side?

Mr. Cua: It can be anywhere from two (2) to seven (7) feet.

Mr. Abrams: Two (2) to seven (7) feet?

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: Okay. I noticed the reference, I think it was through Public Works, which I'm not sure whether this first part or the second part, but anyway, 1.i. that talks about that you would need to have a minor street if you had more than four (4) dwellings onto those two (2) lots, yeah?

Mr. Esaki: Yes, there's no problem with that.

Mr. Abrams: That's for these two, five (5) and six (6)? Is that what...?

Mr. Esaki: As of now, we are not planning on building any road because we'll just serve...each lot will have one (1) house.

Mr. Abrams: But it would be eligible for more dwellings.

Mr. Esaki: Yes, at that time they will...

Mr. Abrams: Do you take into consideration that happening by having proper, additional widths?

Mr. Esaki: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: And that would be on Lot 4?

Mr. Esaki: That's why we have the easement drawn.

Mr. Abrams: Yes. So that'll take 30 for those minor standard...

Mr. Esaki: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: Okay, then they'd have to come back for that I guess because that's just a roadway. Okay, that's it.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Any further questions? Chair will entertain a motion.

Mr. Abrams: Yes. Move to approve revised subdivision report for tentative approval for S-2013-23; Roy Morita, Marvin Morita, Beverly Morita; proposed 6-lot subdivision.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any further discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? (None) Motion carries 2:0.

Thank you, gentlemen.

Subdivision Application No. S-2015-13; A&B/McBryde Sugar Co. LLC/Kukui'ula Development Co., LLC); Proposed 4-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 2-6-004:010, 011, 018, 063; 2-6-015:008; Kōloa, Kaua'i

Mr. Cua: Chair, the next item on the agenda is Item 1.b. It's Subdivision Application No. S-2015-13. The applicant is Alexander and Baldwin, McBryde Sugar Company LLC, and Kukui'ula Development Company LLC. The proposal is a 4-lot subdivision. Tax Map Key is 2-6-004 parcels 10, 11, 18, 63, and TMK: 2-6-015 parcel 8. The subject property is in Kōloa.

What you have before you is a subdivision that establishes a total of four (4) lots within the Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District. Again, in this application, it has been revised and it's been reduced. The total amount of lots has been reduced from five (5) to four (4) lots. I wanted to note that the proposal is subject to Ordinance No. 946, which requires the construction of curbs, gutters, and sidewalks along the roadway frontage. This affects properties within the Commercial and Residential Districts where the density is permitted more than four (4) per acre. It should be noted that in the previous subdivision approval back in April 2015, the Applicant was granted a Modification of Requirement to deviate from the requirement to construct curbs, gutters, and sidewalks. The approval of the Modification of Requirement did not relieve the Subdivider from the infrastructure requirement, but it rather deferred the improvements to a later date. As a result, Condition No. 4 of the subdivision report has been incorporated as one (1) of the conditions for this subdivision. Also wanted to note that the revised subdivision map, again, has been routed to the various reviewing agencies for their review and comment. Their requirements have been incorporated into the subdivision report. As a result, the Department is recommending tentative approval of this application.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Is there a representative for the applicant present?

Mr. Esaki: Good morning, again. Dennis Esaki representing A&B, Tom Shigemoto. One (1) thing I want to correct, the property size; I guess the Applicant had it wrong. On the General Information, it says 124 acres, but it is 16.984 acres.

Mr. Abrams: 16.94?

Mr. Esaki: 984. Again, this is a reduction in the number of lots. As Dale said, there was a deferred construction of the improvements. Just wanted to clarify that on 1.f., it says you have to put the electrical services underground, similar to the other improvements that will be at the time of development; for clarification. We ask for approval.

Vice Chair Mahoney: The curbs and gutters?

Mr. Esaki: It's all at time of development.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Okay. I understand now. Are there any questions for the Applicant?

Mr. Abrams: Yes. Dennis, Lot 8B, so that becomes a big twelve (12) acre lot, yes?

Mr. Esaki: Right.

Mr. Abrams: And is that all Commercial? Zoning-wise I don't have a zoning overlay, so I can't tell. Because it does say that it involves some Residential and some Commercial.

Mr. Cua: Right. I can tell you that the portion of the property fronting Poʻipū Road is zoned Residential, and then the area that's abutting the bypass road, Ala Kalanikaumaka is zoned Commercial.

Mr. Abrams: Okay, so that was the old Lot 8? Is that what you have?

Mr. Esaki: I think its High Density Residential and Neighborhood Commercial.

Mr. Abrams: Yes.

Mr. Esaki: I don't have the zoning line on here right now, but...

Mr. Cua: It's almost like bisected in half.

Mr. Abrams: Okay.

Mr. Esaki: Two (2) zonings.

Mr. Abrams: But these ones we have, right, which is Lot 8, which actually...I think I see...no, that's B. Okay, so that part of that B Lot, that skinny one that's into A, C, and D, that's Residential?

Mr. Cua: For your reference, Commissioner Abrams, I do have an overlay map.

Mr. Abrams: Okay. Alright, I see now. Alright. So the structures that are on there now, or were on there, or were used, that are up there now with the parking lot that's in there. How did that come in? Did it get a permit or what?

Mr. Cua: Yes. It was...the structure that you see now was the previous sales office for the Kukui'ula Development when they were under construction. Since that time, those structures have been abandoned and actually been removed. The Sales Offices are now located on site.

Mr. Abrams: Okay. So that whole section there that you show as R-20...

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: Goes up further. That Po'ipū Road section there, which needs right-of-way with...that will be when they start any one (1) of these?

Mr. Cua: At the time that the project is being developed, yes; whether it's the front or back.

Mr. Abrams: Whether it's the front or the back?

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: So whether it's the Commercial or the Residential?

Mr. Cua: Correct.

Mr. Abrams: And is there a sidewalk on the Commercial side now? I can't remember. I don't know whether it's on one (1) side or...

Mr. Cua: No.

Mr. Abrams: So would there be a requirement then at that point that the sidewalks would go in on...

Mr. Cua: On the bypass road side.

Mr. Abrams: On the bypass road?

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: Kalanikaumaka. Okay. Now, I noticed that in tentative approval, Page 3 number 2.b., they recommend a road reserve. This is Public Works' comments. Would that be a dedication? I mean, are they going to actually...

Mr. Cua: We could actually ask for the dedication at the time of subdivision, or if warranted, they could come back and create a roadway lot at the time the property is developed, since we don't know what their timeline is in developing the parcel.

Mr. Abrams: Yes. Because that's an important corridor along there right now as part of the plan for Po'ipū Road access there I'm real interested in. Is not Lot 6, or is it another lot up, the park? There was supposed to be a park, right?

Mr. Cua: Yes. Its further north of this area.

Mr. Abrams: That's next door to where this R-20 zone is?

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: With the other ones that we're looking at, do you require a bond? Or something to be done in regards to the improvements for something like that? A road widening or sidewalk required?

Mr. Cua: It would be the Applicant's preference, in terms of providing a bond. They have the choice to construct improvements or bond the improvements.

Mr. Abrams: Okay. Do we...I know 2.b. is basically a recommendation. Do we have sufficient enough conditions in here, in the tentative, to make sure that the dedication would take place?

Mr. Cua: Sure. We could include it as part of Condition 1.h., which is the Memorandum of Agreement.

Mr. Abrams: Okay.

Mr. Cua: The MOA is what defers the improvements to a later date.

Mr. Abrams: Okay.

Mr. Cua: We could conceivably stipulate that the dedication be done at the time the construction of curbs, gutters, and sidewalks. So we could do it concurrently.

Mr. Abrams: Yes, and I'm just thinking the County is planning on coming down Po'ipū Road to improve that for bicycle and pedestrian. If in fact they are going to incorporate that in, wouldn't it be good for us to go ahead and get that dedicated? So they could do that work, instead of...because we don't know when this is going to happen.

Mr. Cua: Correct. I guess it's something we could further discuss with the Applicant. If they choose to dedicate a roadway lot prior to final subdivision approval for this application, then you know, I guess we can talk to them some more.

Mr. Abrams: Okay.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Any further comment?

Mr. Abrams: No.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Hearing none. Mr. Esaki.

Mr. Esaki: Just a clarification, so you're looking at a (inaudible) dedication strip along Po'ipū Road?

Mr. Abrams: If that's what's called for under the road widening...you know, your side of the road, I guess at that point, right.

Mr. Esaki: Yes, because it says its fifty (50) feet and they require sixty (60) feet, so you take half of that on this side of the road and half on the other side right?

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: Okay. So five (5) feet. And a sidewalk, who's that? Is that normally in the County's right-of-way? Or is it on the...

Mr. Esaki: Usually the County.

Mr. Abrams: So gotta throw in that, too then, huh?

Mr. Esaki: No, but I think it's usually within the right-of-way.

Mr. Abrams: It's in the right-of-way?

Mr. Esaki: Yes.

Mr. Abrams: Does the right-of-way get bigger then?

Mr. Cua: Well, I mean, if you want the dedication, then it would be part of the overall improvements.

Mr. Abrams: So it might be more than five (5) feet.

Mr. Esaki: I'm sure that can be worked out with the (inaudible).

Mr. Abrams: Yes, okay.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Chair will entertain a motion.

Mr. Abrams: Move to approve tentative Subdivision Application No. S-2015-13, A&B/McBrydge Sugar Company/Kukui'ula Development Company LLC, proposed 4-lot subdivision.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any further discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? (None) Motion carries 2:0. Thank you.

Subdivision Application No. S-2016-4; Waioli Surf Shack Holdings, LLC; Proposed 2-lot Consolidation; TMK: (4) 5-5-005:009, 010; Hanalei, Kaua'i

Mr. Cua: Okay, Chair, the next item on the agenda is Item I.1.c., Subdivision Application No. S-2016-4. Applicant is Waioli Surf Shack Holdings, LLC. It's a proposed 2-lot consolidation. Tax Map Key 5-5-005 parcels 9 and 10 in Hanalei Town.

As noted in the subdivision report, the proposal consolidates two (2) existing lots into a single lot within the Open Zoning District. The subdivision map, again, has been routed to the various reviewing agencies for their review and comment. These requirements have been incorporated into the subdivision report. As a result, the Department is recommending tentative subdivision approval of this application.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Is there a representative for the applicant present? Could you state your name for the record, please?

Brian Hennessy: Hi. Brian Hennessy with Honua Engineering here to represent the applicant.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Have you read the report?

Mr. Hennessy: Yes, I read the report. I guess the one (1) thing that I'd like to get some, a little bit of clarification on is the request to establish a road right and reserve. It's a private road, and so I'm not sure what the precedent is there; whether you guys can establish a road right and reserve on a road that's already private.

Mr. Cua: It's more in the event that, say if the road is dedicated to the County and brought to County roadway standards, then the reserve would be there and available.

Mr. Hennessy: I just thought the comments should reflect that it is a private right-of-way at this portion of Weke Road.

Mr. Cua: So far it hasn't been a problem. It's more if and when the roadway is brought to County roadway standards.

Mr. Hennessy: Okay, understood.

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Any questions for the Applicant?

Mr. Abrams: Yes. Brian, I think you guys came in June for Lot...is it four (4) and five (5)?

Mr. Hennessy: Four (4) and five (5), yes.

Mr. Abrams: To consolidate that, and then now you're doing nine (9) and ten (10)?

Mr. Hennessy: Yes, the two (2) lots next door.

Mr. Abrams: Both lots next door? Okay. Is that it for that property?

Mr. Hennessy: Pardon.

Mr. Abrams: Is that it for...

Mr. Hennessy: No further actions.

Mr. Abrams: I'm kind of looking at the map here and see those two (2) there. Is there one (1) after that?

Mr. Hennessy: Yes. The Applicant does own one (1) lot beyond that also.

Mr. Abrams: Okay, so this is a private roadway, which is basically...why do we call it a roadway? Would it be a driveway?

Mr. Cua: No, it's still a...it's a roadway lot. It still remains as a roadway lot.

Mr. Abrams: Isn't a roadway classified as some sort of County standard, as opposed to a driveway?

<u>Deputy County Attorney Higuchi-Sayegusa:</u> There are different standards for driveway versus minor roadways and connector roadways.

Mr. Abrams: And private roadways? That's what I'm not sure about. I mean, that terminology we're looking for...

Mr. Cua: Right. I mean, private roadways can remain in private ownership, but yet be built to County roadway standards. Like maybe what you see in Po'ipū for those roadways in the Kiahuna area.

Mr. Abrams: Yes, yes.

Mr. Cua: But then whereas you have older roadway lots that were established prior to the Zoning Ordinance; at the time they were built to different standards. Today we would consider it non-conforming in the sense that it doesn't meet roadway standards. They can still remain private; however, in the event...or should the roadway be brought up to County roadway standards, then that was the purpose of those reserves. The reserve essentially serves as an advisory to the property owner; to let them know that if the roadway is upgraded, to reserve this area.

Mr. Abrams: Okay, yes, because I was trying to figure out. I mean, we got it...somewhere along this there is a...it touches Weke Road, right?

Mr. Cua: Oh, yes.

Mr. Abrams: But once they start putting the roadway, I guess, or calling it a roadway, I don't...

Mr. Cua: Well, it's still a roadway.

Mr. Abrams: No, I mean on the private land. So there was a roadway, and who owns that roadway? They do, these particular owners? Are there anybody else? Does the County have an interest in that at all?

Mr. Cua: I believe the way I looked at the title, the private road is in ownership for those lots abutting it.

Mr. Hennessy: That's correct. I mean, it's not only the Applicant, but several other owners all from the last intersection in Hanalei to the end.

Mr. Abrams: And that's Lot 20 you're talking about? That one that's at the bottom, that's on the, sort of, steep bank?

Mr. Hennessy: That's correct.

Mr. Abrams: So it's not really used, then? That looks pretty steep, right?

Mr. Hennessy: No, it's not used at all.

Mr. Abrams: Okay, as long as that's in there. Alright, thank you.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Any further comment? Hearing none. Chair will entertain a motion.

Mr. Abrams: Move to approve tentative subdivision approval for Waioli Surf Shack Holdings, LLC into consolidation of Lots 2 and 3 into 2A.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any further discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 2:0. Thank you.

Mr. Hennessy: Thank you.

Subdivision Application No. S-2016-5; Pīla'a International/West Beach Kaua'i, LLC; Proposed 3-lot Boundary Adjustment; TMK: (4) 5-1-004:008, 014, 032; Pīla'a, Kaua'i

Mr. Cua: Next item on the agenda is Item I.1.d., Subdivision Application No. S-2016-5, Pīla'a International/West Beach Kaua'i, LLC, proposed 3-lot boundary adjustment, Tax Map Key 5-1-004 parcels 8, 14, and 32 in Pīla'a.

For this particular item, we do have that correspondence dated October 26th requesting to defer this application.

Mr. Abrams: Do you want a date?

Mr. Cua: The correspondence says to reschedule for approximately sixty (60) days; about two (2) months from now.

Mr. Abrams: When do we have a December meeting?

Mr. Cua: The only December meeting is December 8th. So it will probably be in January.

Mr. Abrams: Okay. Move to defer the tentative Subdivision Application S-2016-5 to December 8th

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any further discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 2:0.

Subdivision Application No. S-2016-6; Brian Lansing; Proposed 2-lot Consolidation; TMK: (4) 5-1-005:132; Waiakalua, Kaua'i

Mr. Cua: Next item on the agenda is Item I.1.e., Subdivision Application No. S-2016-6, Brian Lansing. It's a proposed 2-lot consolidation. Tax Map Key 5-1-005 parcel 132 in Waiakalua.

Just wanted to note, in the evaluation that the proposed subdivision consolidates two (2) remnant parcels into a single lot within the Agriculture District. In considering the proposal, it should be noted that the resultant lot does not comply with the minimum lot size requirement within the Agriculture Zoning District, which is one (1) acre. Prior to final subdivision approval, the Department would recommend that the Applicant revise the layout such that the resultant lot is in compliance with the minimum lot area, or obtain a Variance Permit. As proposed, Lot 132-A does not qualify for any residential density. It is further noted that the remnant parcels were created as a result of the highway realignment in this area. Remnant 2 is a portion of the old government roadway, and Parcel 132 is a remnant piece that was created with the new highway alignment. The preliminary subdivision map, again, was routed to the various reviewing agencies for their review and comment. Their requirements have been incorporated into the subdivision report. As a result, the Department is recommending tentative subdivision approval of this application.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Is there a representative for the applicant present? Could you state your name for the record, please?

Mr. Esaki: Dennis Esaki, for the record, representing the Applicant. We intend to come back with a Variance application.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> So you understand the conditions and the items that were brought up by the Planner?

Any questions for the Applicant?

Mr. Abrams: No.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Hearing none. Chair will entertain a motion.

Mr. Abrams: Move to approve tentative Subdivision Application No. S-2016-6, Brian Lansing, proposed 2-lot consolidation.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any further discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 2:0. Thank you, Mr. Esaki. Next.

Final Subdivision Action

Subdivision Application No. S-2013-22; Cheryl Cowden Schenck; Proposed 2-lot Boundary Adjustment; TMK: (4) 4-9-012:005; Moloa'a, Kaua'i

Mr. Cua: Okay, the final application is Item I.2.a. It's for final subdivision action. Subdivision Application No. S-2013-22. Applicant is Cheryl Cowden Schenck. It's a proposed 2-lot boundary adjustment. Tax Map Key 4-9-12 parcel 5. The parcel is in Moloa'a.

The final subdivision map has been routed to the various reviewing agencies for their review. The Department has received all of the approval letters from the reviewing agencies. As a result, the Department is recommending final subdivision approval of this application.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Is there a representative for the applicant present? Could you state your name for the record, please?

Patrick Cooney: Patrick Cooney for Cheryl Schenck.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Have you read the conditions of the tentative approval?

Mr. Cooney: Everything has been met.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Okay, any questions?

Mr. Abrams: No.

<u>Vice Chair Mahoney:</u> Any questions for the Planner? Hearing none. Chair will entertain a motion.

Mr. Abrams: Move to approve final subdivision approval for Application No. S-2013-22, Cheryl Cowden Schenck, proposed 2-lot boundary adjustment.

Vice Chair Mahoney: Second.

Any discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 2:0. Thank you.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

Vice Chair Mahoney: Meeting adjourned. Thank you.

Vice Chair Mahoney adjourned the meeting at 9:10 a.m.

() Approved as amended. See minutes of _____ meeting.

	Respectfully submitted by: Darcie Agaran Commission Support Clerk
() Approved as circulated (add date of meeting approval).	